

**General Plan 2020  
Interest Group Meeting  
March 13, 2000  
Minutes**

---

Attendees: Florence P. Sloane, Sporting Dog Council of San Diego  
Lisa "Chris" Anderson, Ramona Chamber  
Sharon Quisenberry, Ramona Real Estate Agency  
Ruth Potter, Sierra Club  
Steve Silverman, American Planning Association  
Ann Clark, League of Women Voters  
Dean Adams, United Food & Commercial  
Keith Behner, Rancho Santa Fe Homeowners Association  
Joan Isaaesen, Lettieri-McIntire Associates  
Dan Silver, Endangered Habitat League  
Jim Peugh, San Diego Audubon Society  
Cindy Stankowski, San Diego Archeological Center  
Devore Smith, Sierra Club  
Janet Anderson, Natural History Museum  
Liz Higgison, San Diego Association of Realtors  
Troy Murphee, Sweetwater Authority  
Eric Bowlby, Sierra Club  
Ramona Sullivan, San Dieguito River Park  
Tracy Morgan-Hollingsworth, San Diego Realtors  
Byron Lindsley, Mountain Defense League  
Eric Larson, Farm Bureau  
Bruce H. Warren, San Diego County Rock Producers  
Mathew Adams, Building Industry Association

Consultant Team: Peggy Gentry, Project Team Leader

Planning Department: Gary Pryor  
LeAnn Carmichael  
Gisela Hernandez

The meeting's purpose was to inform interest group members of GP2020 progress. Additionally, the meeting reviewed General Plan Goals and Policies, Standards, and The Glossary of Terms.

The introduction to **GP2020** clarified the current process and common concerns.

- Board of Supervisors endorsed goals and policies
- Smart growth is part of the GP2020 process
- Draft goals and policies are amendable until the board approves them
- Agriculture is currently not in the works. Ag will be addressed in General Plan 2020 through a functional equivalent that will not be called an Agricultural Element.

### **Draft goals and policies.**

The General Plan 2020 will not stand alone to address details, ordinances will care for details that otherwise would not be addressed in the General Plan. Changes in zoning will be needed to support the GP2020.

- Page 9 of draft goals and policies, letter (E) – **Amendment approved** because the San Diego Regional Water Control Board has already addressed the issue of velocity. Interest group amendment of wording to “no increase on erosion” was declined (in favor-2, opposed-10). **Adding a comma** between “flooding, and erosion” is approved by group.
- Page 6 of draft goals and policies, housing. **Urban density** is defined differently by many organizations and therefore creates inconsistencies. Gary explained that the current wording provides flexibility to the different communities.

**Standards.** General Plan 2020 Standards were recommended by the steering committee.

- *Library* standards **approved as is** – Standards are based on residential usage, which is similar to industry standard. The principles that apply to parks will be the same for libraries.
- *Circulation* standards **continued to review maps** – Standards are based on trips. The information will not be run until inventory of roads is completed. This will take place once future land use patterns are established. Gary indicated that there is already focus areas, and funds will need to be found in order to get the below “d” areas out of traffic problems. Sharon, from Ramona, suggested that Ramona have “pull” since they are approximately 40% of the unincorporated tax base. Gary did not address the suggestion because it is a political question and not a planning one.
- *Floodways* – addition to standard recommended. No encroachment allowed except **minimum amount necessary** for public infrastructure. Some raised questions on bridges and areas that are already built on. Gary indicated that the current standards say that floodways are a valuable resource and these standards would apply to future discretionary actions.
- *Floodplain* standards **approved as is** – Not all floodplains have been mapped, they are developer responsibility. If protection of 100% of floodplains is adopted, it means that less erosion is possible. Some areas that are not mapped might be addressed by MSCP. Communities with substantial floodplains will be discussed individually. Once the GP2020 process is advanced, ordinances will take care of many issues and concerns of individual communities and areas. Discussion on the language used for wetlands was tabled because of insufficient time to resolve differences. Suggestions should be put in writing so that they are sent out to interested groups before the next meeting. Comments should be in early April so they can be sent out with next information packet.

Clarification on voting rights in interest group meetings indicates that only Industry Representatives can vote.

Next interest group meeting is scheduled for **Tuesday, May 9, 2000 @ 1:30pm**